

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES—
GENERAL

Case No. 5:22-cv-00747-SSS-SHKx

Date July 28, 2022

Title *Terry McDonald v. The Prudential Insurance Company of America, et al.*

Present: The Honorable SUNSHINE S. SYKES, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Irene Vazquez

Not Reported

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

None Present

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Present

**Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AS TO
WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR
FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS COURT'S
REASSIGNMENT ORDER**

On June 25, 2022, the Court entered its Reassignment Order (the “Order”) [Dkt. 18], that 1) informed the parties of the case reassignment; 2) provided this Court’s location; 3) provided the parties vital information on previously scheduled deadlines and dates and how this Court would be addressing those deadlines and dates; 4) directed each party to review and become familiar with any all applicable standing orders; and 5) ordered the parties to file a joint case management statement within fifteen days from the date of the Order. As of today’s date, the parties have failed to file the Court-ordered case management statement.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** counsel for Plaintiff—Susan B. Grabarsky; Frank N. Darras; and Phillip S. Bather—and counsel for Defendant—Jason A. James and Patricia A. Ellis—to show cause why they should not each be sanctioned in the amount of \$250 for their failure to comply with the Court’s Reassignment Order.

Counsel is required to respond in writing on or before **August 11, 2022**, at 12:00 noon. Counsel's failure to respond, or counsel's filing of an unsatisfactory response, may result in the imposition of sanctions against counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.